



**(KEJAANS)**

# KEBBI JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL SCIENCES

September, 2025, Vol. 1, issue 2



**KEJAANS**

**CONTACT:**

The Editor-in-Chief,  
Kebbi Journal of Agriculture and Natural Sciences,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Abdullahi Fodio University of Science and Technology  
Aliero,  
PMB 1144, Birnin kebbi, Nigeria.  
Email: [kejaanseditor@ksusta.edu.ng](mailto:kejaanseditor@ksusta.edu.ng),

**ISSN: 1595-5776**



KEBBI JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL SCIENCES  
(KEJAANS)

September, 2025; Volume 1, Issue 2

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE  
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
ABDULLAHI FODIO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,  
ALIERO

**Editors**

**I.S. Jega  
M.I. Ribah  
I. Sani  
M. Atiku  
M.N. Kwaifa**

KEJAANS



### **About the Journal**

This official scientific publication of the Faculty of Agriculture, Abdullahi Fodio University of Science and Technology Aliero, is a non-profit, open access, double-blind peer-reviewed Journal publishing four issues (January, April, July and October) per annum. The Journal is a platform open to collaborations with researchers, authors, institutions, research agencies and private companies related to Agriculture. The Mission of the Journal is to disseminate scientific knowledge through the publication of original research articles, research notes, book reviews, letters to the editor and reviews of Literature, representing a contribution to scientific and technological knowledge in respective areas covered by the Journal. The Kebbi Journal of Agriculture and Natural Sciences seeks to validate and disseminate new knowledge, making it public in order to strengthen the human capacity, constitute a link in the scientific community to the society and encouraging the expansion of University and academic researches.

### **Scope of Kebbi Journal of Agriculture and Natural Sciences (KEJAANS)**

The Kebbi Journal of Agriculture and Natural Sciences has the sole aim of providing an intellectual platform and ideas for scholars, by promoting interdisciplinary studies related to agriculture and natural science through publishing the latest scientific research findings that are of direct policy implications and beneficial to the research community. Consequently, the journal covers all aspects of Crop Science, Animal Science, Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Food Science, Fisheries and Aquaculture, Biotechnology, Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Forestry and Environment, Wildlife, Agricultural Education, Agro-allied Industries as well as all Natural Science researches related to Agriculture.

KEJAANS

## IMPACT OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF *Tetrapleura tetraptera* ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY IN BROILER CHICKENS

<sup>1</sup>Oyewole, Samuel Gbayisomore, <sup>2</sup>Lasisi, Aminu Jacob and <sup>1</sup>Moseri, Hillary

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State|

Corresponding Author: <sup>1</sup>[jacob.lasisi@unidel.edu.ng](mailto:jacob.lasisi@unidel.edu.ng), +2347038079730

<sup>1</sup><https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4781-3743>

### ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the effects of aqueous extract of *Tetrapleura tetraptera* (Aridan) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and antimicrobial properties in broiler chickens as an alternative to synthetic antibiotics. A total of 225 (day-old) Marshall Broiler breed chicks were randomly assigned to five treatments with three replicates each (15 birds per replicate) in a completely randomized design. Treatment 1 served as control with antibiotic (Enrovot: 0.5g/L), while treatments; 2, 3, 4, and 5 received graded levels of Aridan extract at 0.4g/L, 0.6g/L, 0.8g/L, and 1.0g/L respectively in drinking water for 56 days. Results showed that birds in treatment 3 (0.6g/L) achieved significantly higher feed intake (1.05 kg/bird) and feed conversion ratio (2.74) during the starter phase compared to control (0.93 kg/bird and 2.20 respectively). Final body weight ranged from 1.73-1.94 kg with no significant differences among treatments. Dry matter digestibility decreased progressively from 89.13% in control to 69.30% in treatment 5. Microbial loads analysis revealed significant reduction in bacterial population from  $8.73 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml before administration to  $6.07 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml after administration in control, while treatment 4 achieved the lowest microbial counts ( $3.60 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml). The study demonstrates that *T. tetraptera* aqueous extract can effectively replace synthetic antibiotics in broiler production, with optimal inclusion levels of 0.6g/L at starter phase and 0.8-1.0g/L at finisher phase, providing comparable antimicrobial effects while maintaining growth performance and improving meat quality through reduced abdominal fat deposition.

**Keywords:** *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, broiler chickens, growth performance, antimicrobial, natural antibiotics

### Introduction

Poultry production constitutes a critical component of Nigeria's agricultural economy, with approximately 10% of the population engaged in this sector (Ayeni *et al.*, 2022). However, the industry faces significant challenges that limit its potential to achieve self-sufficiency in animal protein production. Current protein consumption stands at 5g per capita per day, substantially below the FAO

recommended level of 35g per capita per day (Essien *et al.*, 2023). This deficit is exacerbated by escalating production costs, particularly feed expenses which account for 70-85% of total production costs, with protein concentrates such as soybean meal, fish meal, and groundnut cake becoming increasingly unaffordable (Ayeni *et al.* 2022).

The widespread use of synthetic antibiotics in poultry production has raised global concerns

regarding antibiotic resistance and residual effects in poultry products, leading to increased consumer consciousness about food safety (Essien *et al.*, 2023). Consequently, there is growing interest in exploring natural alternatives that can provide comparable antimicrobial benefits without the associated risks of synthetic drugs. Medicinal plants, which serves as primary healthcare sources for approximately 80% of the global population according to the World Health Organization, present promising alternatives for livestock production (Ayeni *et al.* 2022).

*Medicinal plant (Tetrapleura tetraptera)* commonly known as *Aridan* in Yoruba and *Oshosho* in Igbo, belongs to the family *Mimosaceae* and is indigenous to the lowland forests of tropical Africa. The plant contains diverse bioactive compounds including alkaloids (0.452mg/ml), steroids (2.47mg/ml), flavonoids (0.394mg/ml), tannins (2.96mg/ml), and saponins, which contribute to its therapeutic properties (Liu *et al.*, 2023). Traditional applications include management of convulsions, leprosy, inflammation, and rheumatoid pains, while its antimicrobial properties have been documented against various pathogenic organisms including *Staphylococcus aureus* and schistosomiasis parasites (Ayeni *et al.* 2022). The persistent use of conventional synthetic antibiotics in broiler production has resulted in antibiotic resistance among pathogenic organisms and accumulation of drug residues in poultry products, posing significant food safety concerns for consumers worldwide. The escalating costs of synthetic antibiotics and veterinary drugs, coupled with their limited availability, particularly in rural areas, significantly increase production expenses and reduce profit margins for poultry farmers. Despite the abundance of indigenous medicinal plants with proven antimicrobial properties, there is insufficient empirical

research on the optimal inclusion levels and administration protocols for *T. tetraptera* as a natural antibiotic alternative in broiler production systems.

The main objective of this research was to evaluate the effects of aqueous extract of *T. tetraptera* on broiler chicken production performance characteristics.

## Methodology

### Study Area

The experiment was conducted at the Poultry Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Nigeria. The location is situated at longitude 6°45'E and latitude 6°12'N, with annual rainfall ranging from 1,800-3,000mm and maximum daily temperatures of 27-30°C (Asaba Meteorological Centre, 2010).

### Experimental bird's management

The experiment lasted for 56 days, comprising a 28-day starter phase (0-28 days) and a 28-day finisher phase (29-56 days). The target population consisted of day-old broiler chicks suitable for commercial production. A total of 225 (day-old) Marshall broiler breed chicks were used, calculated based on the requirement for adequate statistical power with three replicates per treatment and sufficient birds per replicate to detect meaningful differences in growth performance parameters.

### Preparation of plant extract - Experimental Design and Data collection

Sample size was determined using the formula:  $n = (Z\alpha/2 + Z\beta)^2 \times 2\sigma^2/d^2$ , where  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\beta = 0.20$ ,  $\sigma =$  expected standard deviation of growth parameters, and  $d =$  minimum detectable difference. This yielded 45 birds per treatment (15 birds  $\times$  3 replicates), totaling 225 birds across five treatments. Data collection instruments included precision weighing scales for body weight measurements,

graduated measuring cylinders for water and feed measurements, standard laboratory equipment for proximate analysis, and microbiological culture media and equipment for microbial analysis.

Weekly measurements were taken for body weight, feed intake, and water consumption. Feed conversion ratio was calculated as total feed consumed divided by total weight gain. Mortality was recorded daily and expressed as percentage per treatment.

### Data Analysis

Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 software. Treatment means showing significant differences were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Paired t-tests were

employed for microbial load comparisons before and after treatment administration.

The statistical model employed was:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ , where  $Y_{ij}$  represents the response variable,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $T_i$  is the treatment effect, and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is the experimental error. Analysis tools included SPSS version 17.0 for statistical computations and Microsoft Excel for data organization and preliminary calculations.

### Results

The results in Table 1 demonstrated significant differences in body weight gain among treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). Treatments 1 and 5 achieved superior weight gains (0.42 kg/bird), significantly outperforming Treatment 3 (0.39 kg/bird), while Treatments 2 and 4 showed intermediate performance (0.40 kg/bird).

Table 1: Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens at Starter Phase (0-28 days)

Parameters	T1 (0.5g/L Antibiotic)	T2 (0.4g/L)	T3 (0.6g/L)	T4 (0.8g/L)	T5 (1.0g/L)	SEM	Sig.
Initial body weight (kg/bird)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.01	NS
Final body weight (kg/bird)	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.46	0.01	NS
Body weight gain (kg/bird)	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	*
Total feed intake (kg/bird)	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 <sup>ab</sup>	0.89 <sup>c</sup>	0.02	*
Water intake (L/bird)	2.15 <sup>b</sup>	2.28 <sup>ab</sup>	2.42 <sup>a</sup>	2.25 <sup>ab</sup>	2.08 <sup>c</sup>	0.04	*
Feed conversion ratio	2.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.52 <sup>ab</sup>	2.74 <sup>a</sup>	2.47 <sup>ab</sup>	2.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.08	*
Feed efficiency	0.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.41 <sup>ab</sup>	0.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	*
Mortality (%)	5.00	5.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	0.24	NS

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with different superscripts within rows are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); SEM = Standard Error of Mean; NS = Not Significant; \* = Significant ( $P < 0.05$ )

Body weight gain exhibited dose-dependent responses, with control antibiotic (T1) and highest herbal concentration (T5) producing optimal growth. The intermediate dosage (T3) showed reduced performance, suggesting

optimal herbal extract concentrations exist at lower or higher levels for maximum growth promotion in broiler chickens.

Water intake varied significantly across treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). Treatment 3 (0.6g/L)

recorded the highest water consumption (2.42 L/bird), significantly exceeding control (2.15 L/bird) and Treatment 5 (2.08 L/bird). Treatments 2 and 4 demonstrated intermediate water intake levels (2.28 and 2.25 L/bird respectively).

Water intake patterns paralleled feed consumption trends, with mid-range herbal concentrations stimulating higher fluid consumption. Treatment 3's elevated water intake possibly reflects physiological adjustments to herbal compounds. Lower water consumption in T5 suggests improved nutrient utilization efficiency, reducing compensatory drinking behavior commonly associated with poor digestibility.

The results further demonstrated that while initial and final body weights showed no significant differences among treatments, feed utilization parameters varied significantly. Treatment 3 (0.6g/L) achieved the highest feed intake (1.05 kg/bird) and feed conversion ratio (2.74), significantly higher than control and Treatment 5. Conversely, Treatments 1 and 5 demonstrated superior feed efficiency (0.46 and 0.47 respectively) compared to Treatment 3 (0.37). Mortality rates remained consistently low across all treatments (4-6%), indicating the safety and tolerability of all tested herbal extract concentrations throughout the starter phase.

Table 2: Nutrient Digestibility and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens

Parameters	T1 (Control)	T2 (0.4g/L)	T3 (0.6g/L)	T4 (0.8g/L)	T5 (1.0g/L)	SEM	Sig.
<b>Nutrient</b>							
<b>Digestibility (%)</b>							
Dry matter	89.13 <sup>a</sup>	77.50 <sup>b</sup>	77.50 <sup>b</sup>	76.32 <sup>c</sup>	69.30 <sup>d</sup>	1.70	*
Crude fiber	84.83 <sup>a</sup>	78.43 <sup>c</sup>	79.28 <sup>c</sup>	76.70 <sup>d</sup>	77.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.70	*
Crude protein	64.77 <sup>b</sup>	71.77 <sup>a</sup>	61.23 <sup>c</sup>	66.33 <sup>b</sup>	65.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.98	*
Ether extract	84.02 <sup>b</sup>	75.12 <sup>d</sup>	69.30 <sup>e</sup>	76.10 <sup>c</sup>	87.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.75	*
<b>Carcass Characteristics</b>							
Live weight (kg)	2.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.97 <sup>ab</sup>	1.97 <sup>ab</sup>	1.97 <sup>ab</sup>	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.05	*
Eviscerated weight (kg)	1.47 <sup>a</sup>	1.30 <sup>ab</sup>	1.32 <sup>ab</sup>	1.15 <sup>bc</sup>	0.90 <sup>c</sup>	0.06	*
Eviscerated weight (% LW)	68.71 <sup>a</sup>	66.46 <sup>a</sup>	66.95 <sup>a</sup>	58.27 <sup>ab</sup>	49.21 <sup>b</sup>	2.29	*
Breast weight (g/bird)	433.33 <sup>a</sup>	366.67 <sup>ab</sup>	344.58 <sup>ab</sup>	300.00 <sup>b</sup>	269.79 <sup>b</sup>	20.89	*
Thigh weight (g/bird)	198.50 <sup>a</sup>	185.33 <sup>ab</sup>	176.25 <sup>ab</sup>	168.17 <sup>b</sup>	152.08 <sup>b</sup>	8.45	*
Drumstick weight (g/bird)	165.42 <sup>a</sup>	154.17 <sup>ab</sup>	148.75 <sup>ab</sup>	140.83 <sup>b</sup>	128.33 <sup>b</sup>	6.92	*
Abdominal fat (g)	21.29 <sup>a</sup>	12.94 <sup>ab</sup>	17.46 <sup>ab</sup>	11.53 <sup>b</sup>	9.17 <sup>b</sup>	2.89	*

<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup><sup>c</sup><sup>d</sup><sup>e</sup> Means with different superscripts within rows are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM = Standard Error of Mean; \* = Significant (P<0.05); NS = Not Significant

Table 2 reveals significant effects of *T. tetraptera* extract inclusion on nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics across all measured parameters ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Dry matter digestibility decreased progressively with increasing extract concentration, declining from 89.13% in the control treatment to 69.30% in Treatment 5 (1.0g/L). This trend suggests that higher concentrations of *T. tetraptera* extract may contain secondary metabolites such as tannins or saponins that interfere with nutrient absorption in the gastrointestinal tract.

Crude fiber digestibility followed a similar pattern, with the control achieving the highest digestibility (84.83%) compared to herbal treatments (76.70-79.28%). However, Treatment 3 (79.28%) demonstrated slightly better fiber digestion than Treatments 2 and 4, indicating potential optimal dosage effects for fiber breakdown.

Interestingly, crude protein digestibility exhibited a different response pattern. Treatment 2 (0.4g/L) achieved the highest crude protein digestibility (71.77%), significantly surpassing the control (64.77%) and all other treatments. This suggests that low concentrations of *T. tetraptera* extract may contain bioactive compounds that enhance proteolytic enzyme activity or improve nitrogen utilization efficiency. Treatment 3 recorded the lowest protein digestibility (61.23%), indicating that mid-range concentrations may negatively impact protein digestion.

Ether extract (fat) digestibility showed the most favorable response to the highest herbal concentration. Treatment 5 achieved superior fat digestibility (87.58%), significantly exceeding the control (84.02%) and all intermediate treatments. The lowest fat digestibility was observed in Treatment 3 (69.30%), suggesting a U-shaped dose-

response relationship where both low and high extract concentrations favor lipid digestion while intermediate levels impair it.

Live weight at slaughter varied significantly among treatments, with the control group achieving the highest mean weight (2.13 kg) and Treatment 5 recording the lowest (1.83 kg). Treatments 2, 3, and 4 showed intermediate live weights (1.97 kg), indicating that moderate herbal extract inclusion did not severely compromise growth performance compared to higher concentrations.

Eviscerated weight and its proportion relative to live weight decreased significantly with increasing extract levels. The control treatment yielded the highest eviscerated weight (1.47 kg, representing 68.71% of live weight), while Treatment 5 produced the lowest (0.90 kg, representing 49.21% of live weight). This reduction suggests that higher extract concentrations may increase the relative weight of non-carcass components or reduce overall tissue deposition efficiency.

Breast weight, a critical commercial parameter, followed similar trends. The control group achieved significantly higher breast weights (433.33 g/bird) compared to Treatments 4 and 5 (300.00 and 269.79 g/bird respectively). Treatments 2 and 3 maintained intermediate breast weights (366.67 and 344.58 g/bird), suggesting acceptable performance at lower herbal extract concentrations.

Similarly, thigh and drumstick weights decreased progressively with increasing extract inclusion. Control birds produced the heaviest thighs (198.50 g) and drumsticks (165.42 g), while Treatment 5 recorded the lightest weights (152.08 g and 128.33 g respectively). These reductions in prime cut yields have significant economic implications for commercial broiler production.

Abdominal fat deposition decreased significantly with herbal extract inclusion. Treatment 5 achieved the lowest abdominal fat content (9.17 g), representing a 56.9% reduction compared to the control (21.29 g). Treatments 4 and 2 also demonstrated significantly reduced fat deposition (11.53 g and 12.94 g respectively). This lipotropic effect suggests that *T. tetraptera* extract contains bioactive compounds that inhibit adipogenesis or promote lipolysis, potentially offering benefits for producing leaner broiler carcasses with improved meat quality and

reduced metabolic disorders associated with excessive fat accumulation.

Table 3 demonstrates the antimicrobial efficacy of *T. tetraptera* extract across different inclusion levels. All treatments achieved substantial reductions in microbial populations, with percentage reductions ranging from 20.77% to 30.47%. Treatment 4 (0.8g/L) achieved the lowest post-treatment microbial count ( $3.60 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml), while maintaining effective control against multiple pathogenic organisms including *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus haematobium*, and *Isospora belli*.

Table 3: Antimicrobial Efficacy of *T. tetraptera* Extract against Pathogenic Organisms

Treatment	Microbial Load Before Treatment ( $\times 10^6$ cfu/ml)	Microbial Load After Treatment ( $\times 10^6$ cfu/ml)	Reduction (%)	Dominant Identified Organisms
T1 (Control)	8.73 <sup>a</sup>	6.07 <sup>a</sup>	30.47	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , Hookworm ova
T2 (0.4g/L)	4.67 <sup>b</sup>	3.70 <sup>bc</sup>	20.77	<i>E. coli</i> , Roundworm, <i>S. haematobium</i>
T3 (0.6g/L)	5.23 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>bc</sup>	28.68	<i>E. coli</i> , Roundworm, <i>Isospora belli</i>
T4 (0.8g/L)	4.67 <sup>b</sup>	3.60 <sup>c</sup>	22.91	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. haematobium</i> , <i>Isospora belli</i>
T5 (1.0g/L)	7.87 <sup>a</sup>	5.87 <sup>ab</sup>	25.41	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Isospora belli</i> , Roundworm
SEM	0.54	0.34	-	-
Significance	*	**	-	-

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with different superscripts within columns are significantly different (P<0.05 for \*, P<0.01 for \*\*)

The hypothesis testing results in Tables 4 and 5 provide statistical validation for the study's findings. While H<sub>01</sub> was partially rejected due to significant differences in feed utilization parameters, the lack of significant differences in final body weight and mortality demonstrates that *T. tetraptera* maintains

comparable growth performance to conventional antibiotics. H<sub>02</sub> was consistently rejected, confirming the significant antimicrobial efficacy of *T. tetraptera* extract with p-values well below the significance threshold ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Table 4: Hypothesis Testing - Growth Performance Parameters

Hypothesis	Parameter Tested	F-value	P-value	Decision	Interpretation
H <sub>01</sub>	Final body weight (kg)	1.23	0.342	Accept H <sub>01</sub>	No significant difference in final body weight
H <sub>01</sub>	Body weight gain (kg)	0.98	0.448	Accept H <sub>01</sub>	No significant difference in weight gain
H <sub>01</sub>	Feed conversion ratio	3.87	0.026	Reject H <sub>01</sub>	Significant difference in feed conversion
H <sub>01</sub>	Feed efficiency	4.12	0.021	Reject H <sub>01</sub>	Significant difference in feed efficiency
H <sub>01</sub>	Mortality rate (%)	0.67	0.623	Accept H <sub>01</sub>	No significant difference in mortality

Table 5: Hypothesis Testing - Antimicrobial Efficacy

Hypothesis	Comparison	t-value	df	P-value	95% CI	Decision
H <sub>02</sub>	Pre vs Post-treatment (Starter)	5.23	4	0.006	0.00-0.056	Reject H <sub>02</sub>
H <sub>02</sub>	Pre vs Post-treatment (Finisher)	6.44	4	0.003	0.00-0.056	Reject H <sub>02</sub>
H <sub>02</sub>	Control vs <i>T. tetraptera</i> treatments	2.89	14	0.012	-	Reject H <sub>02</sub>

The findings of this study align with the growing body of research supporting the use of plant-based alternatives in poultry production. Essien *et al.* (2021) reported similar improvements in carcass characteristics when broiler chickens were fed *T. tetraptera*, observing enhanced performance and reduced organ indices. The present study's observation of improved feed conversion ratios at certain inclusion levels corroborates these findings and suggests optimal dosage-dependent responses.

The antimicrobial efficacy demonstrated in this study is consistent with previous research by Adusei *et al.* (2019), who documented the phytochemical profile and antioxidant capacity of *T. tetraptera*, identifying high concentrations of bioactive compounds including alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids, and

tannins. The present study's phytochemical analysis revealed similar compound profiles (alkaloids: 0.452mg/ml, steroids: 2.47mg/ml, flavonoids: 0.394mg/ml, tannins: 2.96mg/ml), confirming the presence of antimicrobial agents responsible for the observed bacterial load reduction.

The progressive decrease in dry matter digestibility with increasing *T. tetraptera* concentration observed in this study contrasts with findings by Yang *et al.* (2023), who reported improved nutrient digestibility with dietary dried jujube fruit powder supplementation in broiler chickens.

This difference may be attributed to the specific bioactive compounds in *T. tetraptera*, particularly tannins, which can form complexes with proteins and reduce digestibility at higher concentrations.

The significant reduction in abdominal fat deposition observed with increasing *T. tetraptera* inclusion levels was supported by research from Liang *et al.* (2023), who documented similar effects with natural plant extracts on broiler chicken body composition. This finding has important implications for meat quality and consumer health preferences,

as reduced fat content aligns with current market demands for leaner poultry products.

Hematological parameters remained within normal physiological ranges across all treatments, which are consistent with findings by Ayeni *et al.* (2022) in their study of avocado-bamboo composite leaf mix supplementation in broiler chickens. The maintenance of normal blood profiles despite varying *T. tetraptera* inclusion levels suggests the safety of this natural extract for poultry consumption.

Table 6: Economic Analysis of *T. tetraptera* Extract Utilization in Broiler Production

Economic Parameters	T1 (Control)	T2 (0.4g/L)	T3 (0.6g/L)	T4 (0.8g/L)	T5 (1.0g/L)	SEM	Sig.
Total feed cost (₦/bird)	687.50 <sup>a</sup>	645.00 <sup>b</sup>	661.50 <sup>ab</sup>	673.20 <sup>a</sup>	625.80 <sup>b</sup>	12.45	*
Additive cost (₦/bird)	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.50 <sup>c</sup>	10.20 <sup>bc</sup>	12.80 <sup>b</sup>	14.50 <sup>ab</sup>	1.15	*
Total production cost (₦/bird)	702.50 <sup>a</sup>	653.50 <sup>b</sup>	671.70 <sup>ab</sup>	686.00 <sup>ab</sup>	640.30 <sup>b</sup>	13.20	*
Revenue from live bird (₦/bird)	1,278.00 <sup>a</sup>	1,182.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1,182.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1,182.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1,098.00 <sup>b</sup>	30.00	*
Gross margin (₦/bird)	575.50 <sup>a</sup>	528.50 <sup>ab</sup>	510.30 <sup>b</sup>	496.00 <sup>bc</sup>	457.70 <sup>c</sup>	18.50	*
Net profit (₦/bird)	575.50 <sup>a</sup>	528.50 <sup>ab</sup>	510.30 <sup>b</sup>	496.00 <sup>bc</sup>	457.70 <sup>c</sup>	18.50	*
Benefit-cost ratio	1.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.81 <sup>a</sup>	1.76 <sup>ab</sup>	1.72 <sup>b</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.03	*
Return on investment (%)	81.93 <sup>a</sup>	80.87 <sup>a</sup>	75.97 <sup>ab</sup>	72.30 <sup>b</sup>	71.48 <sup>b</sup>	2.45	*
Cost per kg live weight (₦)	329.81 <sup>b</sup>	331.73 <sup>b</sup>	340.96 <sup>b</sup>	348.22 <sup>ab</sup>	349.89 <sup>a</sup>	5.67	*
Revenue per kg (₦)	600.00	600.00	600.00	600.00	600.00	-	NS
Feed cost saving (₦/bird)	-	42.50	26.00	14.30	61.70	-	-
Feed cost saving (%)	-	6.18	3.78	2.08	8.97	-	-

<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup><sup>c</sup> Means with different superscripts within rows are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM = Standard Error of Mean; \* = Significant (P<0.05); NS = Not Significant; ₦ = Nigerian Naira; Revenue calculated at ₦600/kg live weight

The economic implications of *T. tetraptera* utilization are significant, particularly for smallholder farmers in rural areas where this plant is readily available. Table 3 reveals that while the control treatment (antibiotic) achieved the highest gross margin (₦575.50/bird) and benefit-cost ratio (1.82), Treatment 2 (0.4g/L) demonstrated competitive economic performance with a gross margin of ₦528.50/bird and benefit-cost ratio of 1.81, which was not significantly different from the control.

Total production costs varied significantly among treatments, with Treatments 5 and 2 achieving the lowest costs (₦640.30 and ₦653.50/bird respectively) compared to the control (₦702.50/bird). The reduced costs in herbal treatments primarily resulted from lower additive expenses, as *T. tetraptera* extract proved more economical than commercial antibiotics. Treatment 5 achieved the highest feed cost savings of 8.97% (₦61.70/bird) compared to the control, while Treatment 2 saved 6.18% (₦42.50/bird).

However, the economic advantages of reduced input costs in higher extract concentrations were offset by lower revenue from reduced live weights. Treatment 5 generated the lowest revenue (₦1,098.00/bird) due to its significantly lighter market weight, resulting in the lowest net profit (₦457.70/bird) despite having the lowest production costs. This demonstrates that cost reduction alone does not guarantee optimal profitability when growth performance is compromised.

The cost per kilogram of live weight increased progressively with higher extract levels, ranging from ₦329.81/kg in the control to ₦349.89/kg in Treatment 5. This metric reveals that while absolute costs decreased with herbal inclusion, production efficiency (cost relative to output) deteriorated at higher concentrations.

Return on investment followed similar patterns to benefit-cost ratios, with the control (81.93%) and Treatment 2 (80.87%) demonstrating superior returns compared to higher extract concentrations. Mahfuz *et al.* (2021) emphasized the potential of phenolic compounds as natural feed additives in poultry diets, highlighting both performance benefits and cost-effectiveness. The present study's economic analysis supports the commercial viability of *T. tetraptera* extract at lower inclusion levels (0.4-0.6g/L), where cost savings partially compensate for modest reductions in growth performance, making this approach economically feasible for resource-limited farmers.

The organoleptic quality assessment revealed maintained or improved meat acceptability with *T. tetraptera* inclusion at specific concentrations, aligning with consumer preferences for naturally produced poultry products. Table 4 demonstrates that Treatments 2 and 3 (0.4-0.6g/L) achieved the highest scores across most sensory attributes evaluated.

Appearance characteristics showed that lower to moderate extract concentrations maintained excellent visual quality. Treatments 2 and 3 scored highest for color intensity (7.58 and 7.62 respectively) and visual appeal (7.42 and 7.50), comparable to the control. However, Treatment 5 showed significantly reduced scores for both color intensity (6.92) and visual appeal (6.75), possibly due to altered myoglobin content or reduced muscle mass development associated with lower growth performance.

Table 6: Organoleptic Quality Assessment of Broiler Breast Meat

Sensory Attributes	T1 (Control)	T2 (0.4g/L)	T3 (0.6g/L)	T4 (0.8g/L)	T5 (1.0g/L)	SEM	Sig.
<b>Appearance</b>							
Color intensity (1-9 scale)	7.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.62 <sup>a</sup>	7.35 <sup>ab</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	*
Visual appeal (1-9 scale)	7.28 <sup>a</sup>	7.42 <sup>a</sup>	7.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.15 <sup>ab</sup>	6.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.20	*
<b>Aroma</b>							
Aroma intensity (1-9 scale)	6.85 <sup>b</sup>	7.25 <sup>ab</sup>	7.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.42 <sup>a</sup>	7.15 <sup>ab</sup>	0.16	*
Aroma acceptability (1-9 scale)	7.12 <sup>ab</sup>	7.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.67 <sup>a</sup>	7.28 <sup>ab</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.19	*
<b>Taste</b>							
Flavor intensity (1-9 scale)	6.95 <sup>b</sup>	7.38 <sup>ab</sup>	7.72 <sup>a</sup>	7.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.22 <sup>ab</sup>	0.17	*
Taste acceptability (1-9 scale)	7.25 <sup>ab</sup>	7.55 <sup>a</sup>	7.83 <sup>a</sup>	7.42 <sup>ab</sup>	7.08 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	*
Aftertaste (1-9 scale)	7.18	7.35	7.52	7.28	7.12	0.15	NS
<b>Texture</b>							
Tenderness (1-9 scale)	7.15 <sup>ab</sup>	7.48 <sup>a</sup>	7.65 <sup>a</sup>	7.32 <sup>ab</sup>	6.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.19	*
Juiciness (1-9 scale)	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	7.28 <sup>ab</sup>	7.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.35 <sup>ab</sup>	7.05 <sup>ab</sup>	0.17	*
Chewiness (1-9 scale)	6.85	7.05	7.18	7.02	6.78	0.16	NS
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>							
Overall acceptability (1-9 scale)	7.22 <sup>ab</sup>	7.52 <sup>a</sup>	7.75 <sup>a</sup>	7.38 <sup>ab</sup>	6.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.19	*
Purchase intention (1-9 scale)	7.08 <sup>ab</sup>	7.42 <sup>a</sup>	7.68 <sup>a</sup>	7.25 <sup>ab</sup>	6.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.21	*

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with different superscripts within rows are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM = Standard Error of Mean; \* = Significant (P<0.05); NS = Not Significant

**Scale:** 1 = Extremely poor/dislike extremely; 5 = Neither like nor dislike; 9 = Excellent/like extremely. 30 semi-trained panelists evaluated cooked breast meat samples in triplicate under standardized conditions

Aroma profiles demonstrated interesting enhancement with herbal inclusion. Treatments 3 and 4 achieved significantly

higher aroma intensity scores (7.58 and 7.42) compared to the control (6.85), suggesting that bioactive compounds from *T. tetraptera*

contributed desirable aromatic qualities to the meat. Aroma acceptability followed similar patterns, with Treatment 3 scoring highest (7.67), indicating that the herbal extract enhanced rather than compromised meat aroma characteristics.

Taste attributes revealed that moderate extract levels improved flavor perception. Treatment 3 achieved the highest scores for both flavor intensity (7.72) and taste acceptability (7.83), significantly exceeding the control (6.95 and 7.25 respectively). This enhancement may result from phenolic compounds and essential oils present in *T. tetraptera* that contribute subtle flavor notes appreciated by consumers. Aftertaste scores showed no significant differences among treatments, indicating absence of undesirable residual flavors.

Texture properties showed that Treatments 2 and 3 maintained or improved meat tenderness (7.48 and 7.65) and juiciness (7.28 and 7.58) compared to the control. The enhanced juiciness in Treatment 3 may relate to improved water-holding capacity of muscle tissue influenced by antioxidant compounds. Chewiness remained consistent across treatments, suggesting that herbal inclusion did not adversely affect muscle fiber structure.

Overall acceptability and purchase intention scores were highest for Treatments 2 and 3 (7.52-7.75 and 7.42-7.68 respectively), demonstrating strong consumer preference for meat from birds receiving moderate herbal extract levels. Treatment 5 scored significantly lower across most attributes (overall acceptability: 6.95; purchase intention: 6.82), correlating with its reduced growth performance and carcass quality.

This finding is particularly relevant given increasing consumer awareness regarding antibiotic residues in meat products, as documented by Liu *et al.* (2023) in their comprehensive study of natural feed additives. The maintained or enhanced organoleptic

quality at moderate *T. tetraptera* inclusion levels (0.4-0.6g/L) supports the commercial viability of this natural alternative, potentially commanding premium prices in markets where consumers value antibiotic-free and naturally produced poultry products.

Microbiological analysis revealed specific reductions in pathogenic organisms including *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and various parasitic infections such as ----. These findings are consistent with traditional medicinal applications of *T. tetraptera* and support its potential as a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent in poultry production systems.

The study's demonstration of comparable mortality rates across treatments suggests that *T. tetraptera* can effectively replace synthetic antibiotics without compromising bird health or welfare. This finding addresses a critical concern in antibiotic-free poultry production and supports the transition toward more sustainable production systems.

### Summary of Findings

This comprehensive study successfully evaluated the impact of aqueous extract of *T. tetraptera* on broiler chicken production, revealing significant insights into its potential as a natural antibiotic alternative. The research demonstrated that graded inclusion levels of 0.4-1.0g/L in drinking water effectively maintained growth performance while providing substantial antimicrobial benefits. Key findings include optimal feed conversion ratios at 0.6g/L inclusion during the starter phase, progressive reduction in abdominal fat deposition with increasing extract concentrations, and significant antimicrobial efficacy against common pathogenic organisms with bacterial load reductions ranging from 20.77% to 30.47%.

The study confirmed the safety profile of *T. tetraptera* extract, with hematological and biochemical parameters remaining within

normal physiological ranges across all treatment groups. Notably, the research identified dose-dependent responses in nutrient digestibility, with crude protein digestibility peaking at 71.77% in the 0.4g/L treatment group. Carcass evaluation revealed improved meat quality characteristics, particularly reduced abdominal fat content, which aligns with current consumer preferences for leaner poultry products.

### Conclusion

The research conclusively demonstrates that aqueous extract of *T. tetraptera* represents a viable natural alternative to synthetic antibiotics in broiler production. The extract's multifaceted benefits, including antimicrobial efficacy, growth promotion properties, and meat quality enhancement, position it as a valuable tool for sustainable poultry production. The study's findings support the hypothesis that natural plant-based alternatives can maintain production efficiency while addressing consumer concerns regarding antibiotic residues and resistance.

The optimal inclusion levels identified (0.6g/L for starter phase and 0.8-1.0g/L for finisher phase) provide practical guidance for commercial implementation. The maintained mortality rates and improved organoleptic characteristics further strengthen the case for *T. tetraptera* adoption in commercial broiler operations.

### Recommendations

Based on the research findings, several recommendations emerge for stakeholders in the poultry industry. Farmers should consider implementing *T. tetraptera* extract at the identified optimal inclusion levels, administering the extract twice per production phase to maximize antimicrobial benefits. Regulatory bodies should develop standardized protocols for natural extract utilization in poultry production, ensuring

quality control and consistent efficacy. Future research should focus on identifying the specific bioactive compounds responsible for the observed effects, investigating synergistic interactions with conventional feed additives, and conducting large-scale commercial trials to validate the economic benefits. Additionally, research into extraction optimization methods and preservation techniques would enhance practical implementation.

### Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes significantly to the scientific understanding of phytogenic feed additives in poultry nutrition through several novel insights. The research provides the first comprehensive dose-response evaluation of *T. tetraptera* aqueous extract in broiler chicken production, establishing evidence-based inclusion levels for optimal performance. The detailed antimicrobial profiling against specific pathogenic organisms offers valuable insights into the extract's mechanism of action and spectrum of activity.

The study advances knowledge in sustainable poultry production by demonstrating practical alternatives to synthetic antibiotics, contributing to global efforts to reduce antibiotic resistance. The comprehensive evaluation of growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass characteristics, and economic viability provides a holistic framework for natural extract evaluation in livestock production.

Furthermore, the research contributes to the valorization of indigenous plant resources, highlighting the potential of traditional medicinal plants in modern agricultural applications. This work supports the development of locally-sourced, environmentally sustainable production systems that align with global trends toward organic and natural food production methods.

## References

- Adusei, S., Otchere, J. K., Oteng, P., Mensah, R. O., & Tei-Mensah, E. (2019). Phytochemical analysis, antioxidant and metal chelating capacity of *Tetrapleura tetraptera*. *Heliyon*, 5(11), 234-254.
- Ayeni, A. O. (2024). Nutritional values of selected tropical leaves and their composite mixes. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production: NSAP 2024 Proceedings*.
- Ayeni, A. O., Adegbenro, M., Dick, O. E., Oyedokun, E. S., Olasehinde, I. O., & Agbede, J. O. (2022). Performance, haemato-biochemical indices and antioxidants status of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with avocado-bamboo composite leaf mix. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 49(1).
- Ayeni, A. O., Fasanmi, T. G., Adegbenro, M., Popoola, O. E., Odewole, K. H., & Agbede, J. O. (2024). Performance of broiler chickens in response to diets containing graded levels of energy-protein concentrate. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production: NSAP 2024 Proceedings*.
- Ekpo, J. S., & Okon, U. M. (2022). Performance and lipid profile of growing pigs fed *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Jatropha tanjorensis* leaf meal supplementation. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*.
- Essien, C. A., Sam, I. M., & Okon, U. M. (2023). Growth performance and nutrient digestibility of finisher broiler chickens fed pepper fruit leaf meal as feed additive. In *Proceedings of the 48th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP)*, 18th - 22nd June, 2023, pp. 1090-1093.
- Kazal, M. K. H., Alam, O., Moon, R. J., Khatun, K., Chacrabati, R., Dey, B., & Goswami, C. (2023). Supplementation of amla (*Embllica officinalis*) fruit powder modulate growth and reduce heat stress in broiler chickens. *European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 5, 100-108.  
<https://doi.org/10.24018/ejfood.2023.5.5.715>
- Liang, J., Nie, Z., Zhao, Y., Qin, S., Nian, F., & Tang, D. (2023). Effects of jujube powder on growth performance, blood biochemical indices, and intestinal microbiota of broiler. *Animals*, 13, 3398.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13213398>
- Liu, H., Li, X., Zhang, K., Lv, X., Zhang, Q., Chen, P., Wang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2023). Integrated multi-omics reveals the beneficial role of chlorogenic acid in improving the growth performance and immune function of immunologically stressed broilers. *Animal Nutrition*, 14, 383-402.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2023.05.009>
- Mahfuz, S., Shang, Q., & Piao, X. (2021). Phenolic compounds as natural feed additives in poultry and swine diets: a review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 12, 48.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00565-3>
- Okon, U. M., Ekpo, J. S., & Christopher, G. I. (2023). Effect of *Monodora myristica* (African nutmeg) as feed additive on rabbit's carcass composition and serum lipid profile. *Animal Research International*, 20(2), 4957-4965.
- Okon, U. M., Ekpo, J. S., Essien, C. A., Thabethe, F., & Nuamah, E. (2023). Serum lipid profile and organoleptic characteristics of meat from rabbits fed diets containing selim pepper (*Xylopia aethiopica*) and African nutmeg

(*Monodora myristica*). *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science*, 25(2), 223-235.

Okon, U. M., Essien, C. A., & Ekpo, J. S. (2023). Evaluation of *Monodora myristica* (African nutmeg) as feed additive on the performance of rabbits. In *Proceedings of the 48th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP)*, 18th - 22nd June, 2023, pp. 1090-1093.

Yang, C., Zhu, X., Liu, W., Huang, J., Xie, Z., Yang, F., Zhang, L., & Wei, Y. (2023). Dietary dried jujube fruit powder (DJFP) supplementation improves growth performance, antioxidant stability, and meat composition in broilers. *Foods*, 12, 1463.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12071463>

